PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Spectroscopy of charmed baryons from lattice QCD

M. Padmanath*
Institute of Physics, University of Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria.
E-mail: padmanath.madanagopalan@uni-graz.at

Robert G. Edwards
Jefferson Laboratory, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, VA 23606, USA
E-mail: edwards@jlab.org

Nilmani Mathur
Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
E-mail: nilmani@theory.tifr.res.in

Michael Peardon
School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
E-mail: mjp@maths.tcd.ie

arXiv:1410.8791v1 [hep-lat] 31 Oct 2014

We present the ground and excited state spectra of singly, doubly and triply charmed baryons by using dynamical lattice QCD. A large set of baryonic operators that respect the symmetries of the lattice and are obtained after subduction from their continuum analogues are utilized. Using novel computational techniques correlation functions of these operators are generated and the variational method is exploited to extract excited states. The lattice spectra that we obtain have baryonic states with well-defined total spins up to $\frac{7}{2}$ and the low lying states remarkably resemble the expectations of quantum numbers from SU(6)$\times$ O(3) symmetry. Various energy splittings between the extracted states, including splittings due to hyperfine as well as spin-orbit coupling, are considered and those are also compared against similar energy splittings at other quark masses.

The 32nd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory,
23-28 June, 2014
Columbia University New York, NY

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1. Introduction

There is a lot of resurgent scientific interest in heavy hadron spectroscopy with the exciting experimental observations during the past decade at CLEO-c, BaBar, the Tevatron, Belle, BES and LHCb. This interest continues, anticipating the observations from large statistical samples that will be collected in many ongoing and future experiments, like BESIII, Belle II at KEK, experiments at the LHCb, and the planned PANDA experiment at GSI/FAIR. However, in contrast to the heavy quarkonia spectroscopy, which have been getting extensive scientific attention in both experiments and theoretical studies, the heavy baryons have not been explored in great detail, though they also can aid to augment our understanding about the strong interaction. So far, only a few singly charmed baryons have been discovered [1], the experimental status of the doubly charm baryon is controversial [1] and there are no observations yet for triply charm baryons. Moreover, quantum number assignment has not been made for most of these observed states. In light of these existing and future experimental prospects, it is highly desirable to have model independent calculations of heavy baryon spectra from first principles calculations, such as from lattice QCD. Such calculations will naturally provide crucial inputs to the future experimental discovery and can also provide a guide in identifying the unknown quantum numbers of the experimentally discovered states, based on what one expects from QCD. Furthermore, such lattice results can also be compared with those obtained from potential models [2], which have been very successful in the case of heavy mesons. Until very recently, lattice QCD results, including quenched [3, 4] as well as full QCD [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], on charmed baryons included only the ground states with spin up to $\frac{3}{2}$. In this proceeding, we present our results on comprehensive excited state spectra of singly, doubly and triply charmed baryons with spin up to 7/2 for both parities [11].

2. Numerical details

These calculations employed the ensemble of dynamical anisotropic gauge field configurations generated by the Hadron Spectrum Collaboration (HSC) to extract the highly excited hadron spectra. With a large anisotropy co-efficient, $\xi = a_s/a_t = 3.5$, we could achieve $a_t\, m_c \ll 1$ and hence use the standard relativistic formulation of Fermions for all the quark flavors from light to charm. The gauge configurations used, were generated with the Symanzik-improved gauge action and N_f =2+1 Fermionic fields in the sea, described using an anisotropic clover action with tree-level tadpole improvement and stout-smeared spatial links. The temporal lattice spacing, $a_t^{-1} = 5.67$GeV, was determined by equating the lattice estimate of m_Ω to its physical value. With a spatial lattice extension of $\sim$1.9 fm, we expect the finite size effects on our spectra to be much less than that for the light hadron spectra. More details of the formulation of actions as well as the techniques used to determine the anisotropy parameters can be found in Refs. [12, 13]. Lattice specifications of these

Lattice size	$a_t m_\ell$	$a_t m_s$	N_{cfgs}	m_π/MeV	$a_t m_\Omega$	N_{tsrcs}	N_{vecs}
$16^3 \times 128$	-0.0840	-0.0743	96	391	0.2951(22)	4	64

Table 1: Details of the gauge-field ensemble used. N_{cfgs} is the number of gauge-field configurations, while N_{tsrcs} and N_{vecs} are the number of time sources per configuration and the number of distillation eigenvectors used for each time source, respectively.

gauge field ensembles used in this work are given in Table 1.

3. Operator construction and analysis

Hadron spectroscopy on the lattice proceeds through the computation of the Euclidean two point correlation functions,

$$C_{ij}(t_f - t_i) = \langle 0|O_j(t_f)\bar{O}_i(t_i)|0\rangle = \sum_n \frac{Z_i^{n*} Z_j^n}{2m_n} e^{-m_n(t_f - t_i)} \tag{3.1}$$

for different creation ($\bar{O}_i(t_i)$) and annihilation ($O_j(t_f)$) operators that carry the quantum numbers of our interest. The $Z_i^n = \langle 0|O_i^\dagger|n\rangle$, called the overlap factor, carries the information about the quantum numbers of the physical state, n. Employing derivative-based operator construction formalism [14] and using up to two derivatives, we construct a large basis of charm baryon operators that transforms according to the symmetries of the lattice. In Table 2, we tabulate the total number of operators that forms the basis for the three different lattice irreps. We identify a subset of operators (Table 3) that are formed by considering only the upper two components of the Dirac spinor as non-relativistic operators as they form the whole set of operators (with $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$) in the non-relativistic limit. We also identify another subset of two derivative operators (Table 3), which are proportional to the field strength tensor, as 'hybrid' operators [15]. For each lattice irrep, we construct $N \times N$ matrix of correlation functions, where N is the number of the operators used in the respective irrep. For these computations we used advanced smearing technique called 'Distillation', so as to reduce the computational requirements to a practical level [16]. Further, we employ a variational method [17] for the extraction of the physical states from these matrix of Euclidean correlation functions using the large basis of interpolators and utilize the overlap factors, Z_i^n, to identify the quantum numbers of the extracted physical states [17]. Thus we are able to reliably extract charm baryon states with spin up to $J = 7/2$ for both parities.

	G_1	H	G_2
Ω_{ccc}	20	33	12
Ω_{cc}, Ξ_{cc} Ω_c, Σ_c	55	90	35
Λ_c	53	86	33
Ξ_c	116	180	68

Table 2: Total number of operators constructed for various charm baryons in each lattice irrep.

	Ω_{ccc}				Ω_{cc}, Ξ_{cc}, Ω_c, Σ_c				Λ_c				Ξ_c			
D	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	3	3	1	0	3	3	1	0	6	6	2	0
2_h	1	1	0	0	3	3	1	0	3	3	1	0	6	6	2	0
2	2	3	2	1	6	8	5	2	6	7	5	1	12	15	10	3

Table 3: The description of the non-relativistic operators used. D stands for the number of derivatives. The fractions in the second row are the continuum spin from which the operators are derived from. Subscript h in the fifth row stands for the hybrid operators.

4. Results

We will present our results starting with triply charmed, followed by doubly charmed and then for singly charmed baryons. In Figure 1(a), we show the spin identified spectra of the triply charmed baryons with reference to $3/2$ times the mass of η_c to account for the difference in the



(a) (b)

Figure 1: (a) Spin identified spectra of triply-charmed baryons with respect to $\frac{3}{2}m_{\eta_c}$ mass. The states in the excited bands inside the magenta ellipses are those with relatively large overlap to non-relativistic operators. The boxes with thick borders corresponds to the states with strong overlap with hybrid operators. (b) Mass splitting of the ground state of $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ Ω_{ccc} from $\frac{3}{2}$ times the mass of J/ψ meson is compared for various lattice calculations [5, 6, 7] and potential model calculations [19, 20, 21].

charm quark content [11]. The energy splittings are preferable than the absolute value of the energy of the physical states, as it reduces the systematic uncertainties due to the quark mass tuning errors, the discretization effects, and also lessen the effect of ambiguity in the scale setting procedure. We emphasize the states in the excited bands with relatively large overlap onto the nonrelativistic operators by enclosing them in magenta closed curves. These states should thus be well described within a nonrelativistic quark model. One can immediately see the extracted spectrum resemble expectations based on a model with nonrelativistic quark spins and hence provide a clear signature of SU(6)⊗O(3) symmetry in the spectra. A few boxes with thick border correspond to those with a greater overlap onto the 'hybrid' operators, which might consequently be hybrid states [15].

A second calculation was carried out with a boosted value for the improvement co-efficient ($c_s = 2.0$) in the charm quark action from its tree level value ($c_s = 1.35$), so as to assess the effect of the radiative corrections, which could lead to significant change in the physical predictions. As was observed in the study of charmonium spectrum [18], we observe a positive shift of approximately 45MeV in the spectrum with reference to $3/2m_{\eta_c}$[11]. In Figure 1(b), we plot our estimates for $m_{\Omega_{ccc}} - 3/2m_{J/\psi}$ from these two calculations with the boosted value for the improvement co-efficient and its tree level value respectively. In the same plot, we also compare these estimates with other lattice calculations [5, 6, 7], which use different discretization and so have distinct artefacts. We also compare these estimates with some potential model calculations [19, 20, 21]. Consistency of our estimates with other lattice calculations gives confidence in our results.

Spin dependent energy splittings provide important insights into the nature of the interactions within the physical states. The most notable baryon energy splittings are those due to spin-orbit coupling and the hyperfine splittings. In Figure 4, we show the the absolute values of energy splittings between the physical states, which originate from the spin-orbit interaction of the following (L, S) pairs : (2,3/2-in the left), (2,1/2-in the middle) and (1,1/2-in the right column). The plot contains these splittings for triple flavored baryons at varying quark masses from *light* to *bottom*. We identified these (L,S) pairs by finding the operators, which incorporate these pairs, that have major overlaps to these states. While the data at the charm quark mass is from this work, the data for *bottom* baryons are obtained from Ref. [22] and data at the *light* and *strange* quark masses are from

Ref. [14]. It is very clear from the plot that these splittings are very near to zero at both *charm* and *bottom* quark masses and thus indicates the non-relativistic nature of heavy baryons. However, data with higher statistics is necessary for precise determination of the heaviness of charm quark.



Figure 2: Energy splittings between states with same L and S values, starting from light to heavy triple-flavoured baryons. For Ω_{bbb}, results are with only non-relativistic operators [22]. For Ω_{ccc}, results from relativistic and non-relativistic as well as only non-relativistic operators are shown, and for the light and strange baryons results are with relativistic and non-relativistic operators [14].

Next, we show the spin identified spectra of doubly charmed baryons [11] in Figure 3. The spectra are plotted with the mass of η_c subtracted from them so as to account for valence charm quark content. The states with strong overlap with the nonrelativistic operators are emphasized by enclosing them within magenta closed curves, while the states with hybrid nature are shown with thick border. As is evident from the plots, the spectra shows excellent agreement between the number of states in the lower nonrelativistic bands and the expectations as per a model with SU(6)⊗O(3) symmetry. Further, incorporating the doubly flavored baryon data, starting from *light* to *bottom*, we studied the quark mass dependence of various energy splittings and using heavy quark effective theory motivated model, we were able to predict the following at the bottom sector : $m_{B_c^*} - m_{B_c} = 80 \pm 8$ MeV and $m_{\Omega_{ccb}} = 8050 \pm 10$ MeV.



Figure 3: Spin identified spectra of (a) Ω_{cc} and (b) Ξ_{cc} baryon for both parities and with spins up to $\frac{7}{2}$ *w.r.t.* m_{η_c}. The keys are same as in Figure 1(a).

In Figure 4, we show the spin identified spectra of the singly charmed baryons, which include Λ_c, Σ_c, Ξ_c and Ω_c. The spectra are shown in terms of the splitting from the respective vector meson, such that all the singly charm baryon spectra shown carry an effective single valence charm quark content and hence all the spectra have the same leading systematic corrections. The circled



Figure 4: The spin identified spectra of (a) Λ_c, (b) Σ_c, (c) Ω_c and (d) Ξ_c baryons for both parities in terms of the splitting from the respective vector meson. The keys are same as in Figure 1(a).

stars in violet shows the experimental candidates. Hence the leading corrections in the observed discrepancies between the experimental data and our estimates could be attributed to the charm quark tuning, the discretization of the charm quark action and the unphysically heavy light pion mass. Nevertheless, one can see a good agreement for the singly charmed baryon spectra also, between the number of states in the lower non-relativistic bands and the expectations as per a model with SU(6)⊗O(3) symmetry.

5. Conclusions

In this work we present the first calculation of the ground and excited state spectra of singly, doubly and triply-charmed baryons using dynamical lattice QCD. Employing state-of-the-art techniques like derivative-based operator construction formalism, 'distillation' and variational fitting method, we are able to reliably extract spectra of charm baryons with well-defined total spin up to 7/2 for both parities. The low lying states in all the spectra remarkably resemble the expectations based on a model with SU(6) ⊗ O(3) symmetry. We study quark mass dependence of various energy splittings including those that originate from hyperfine interactions, as well as spin-orbit interactions. From these studies, we also make the predictions in the *bottom* sector which are $m_{B_c^*} - m_{B_c} = 80 \pm 8$ MeV and $m_{\Omega_{ccb}} = 8050 \pm 10$ MeV. However, it is to be noted that the systematic uncertainties like chiral extrapolation, discretization effects and finite volume effects are not quantitatively addressed here. Further, one needs to incorporate multi-hadron operators in the

full analysis so as to assess the extent of their influence in the above conclusions and for precise quantitative description of these resonance states.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues within the Hadron Spectrum Collaboration. Chroma [23] and QUDA [24, 25] were used to perform this work on the Gaggle and Brood clusters of the DTP, TIFR, Mumbai and at Lonsdale cluster maintained by the Trinity Centre for High Performance Computing and at Jefferson Laboratory. MP acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF):[I1313-N27], the Trinity College Dublin Indian Research Collaboration Initiative and the CSIR, India for financial support through the SPMF. RGE acknowledges support from the U.S. Department of Energy and Jefferson Science Associates which manages and operates Jefferson Laboratory.

References

[1] The Review of Particle Physics : J. Beringer et al. (PDG), Phys. Rev. D86, 010001 (2012).

[2] V. Crede and W. Roberts, Rept. Prog. Phys. **76**, 076301 (2013) and the references therein.

[3] R. Lewis, N. Mathur and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 094509 (2001).

[4] N. Mathur, R. Lewis and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014502 (2002).

[5] S. Basak *et al.*, PoS LATTICE **2012**, 141 ; PoS LATTICE **2013**, 243, *paper in preparation*.

[6] S. Durr, G. Koutsou and T. Lippert, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114514 (2012).

[7] Y. Namekawa *et al.* [PACS-CS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 9, 094512 (2013).

[8] C. Alexandrou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114501 (2012).

[9] R. A. Briceno, H. -W. Lin and D. R. Bolton, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094504 (2012).

[10] G. Bali, S. Collins and P. Perez-Rubio, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **426**, 012017 (2013).

[11] M. Padmanath, *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074504 (2014) [arXiv:1307.7022 [hep-lat]];
PoS LATTICE **2013**, 247; arXiv:1311.4806 [hep-lat].

[12] R. G. Edwards, B. Joo and H. -W. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 054501 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3960 [hep-lat]].

[13] H. -W. Lin *et al.* [Hadron Spectrum Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **79**, 034502 (2009)

[14] R. G. Edwards, J. J. Dudek, D. G. Richards and S. J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074508 (2011).

[15] J. J. Dudek and R. G. Edwards, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054016 (2012).

[16] M. Peardon *et al.* [Hadron Spectrum Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **80**, 054506 (2009)

[17] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur and D. G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 034501 (2008).

[18] L. Liu *et al.* [Hadron Spectrum Collaboration], JHEP **1207**, 126 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5425 [hep-ph]].

[19] A. P. Martynenko, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 317 (2008) [arXiv:0708.2033 [hep-ph]].

[20] S. Migura, D. Merten, B. Metsch and H. -R. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. A **28**, 41 (2006) [hep-ph/0602153].

[21] P. Hasenfratz, R. R. Horgan, J. Kuti and J. M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 401 (1980).

[22] S. Meinel, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114510 (2012).

[23] R. G. Edwards *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **140**, 832 (2005) [hep-lat/0409003].

[24] M. A. Clark *et al*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181**, 1517 (2010).

[25] R. Babich, M. A. Clark and B. Joo, arXiv:1011.0024 [hep-lat].